

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/621,175	07/16/2003	Olivier Muhlhoff	P10-1305	4296
7590 06/29/2005			EXAMINER	
Alan A. Csontos			JULES, FRANTZ F	
Michelin North America, Inc. Intellectual Property Department P.O. Box 2026 Greenville, SC 29602			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/621,175	MUHLHOFF, OLIVIER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frantz F. Jules	3617
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	, in the second of the second
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This	action is non-final	<b>~</b>
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11,12,16 and 20</u> is/are rejected.	·	
7) Claim(s) <u>10,13-15 and 17-19</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	-	•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		
	animor. Note the attached office	7,00011 01 1011111 1 1 0 102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		40. 4
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	pnonty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (t).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. Name and the state of	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		an Na
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		··· , ··· -—
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	<del>-</del>	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	, ,,,	٠
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	
		·
Attachment(s)	_	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
<u> </u>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-9, 11, 15, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chandezon et al (US 6,554,038) in view of Shoemaker (US 2,186,178).

Claims 1-2, 4-9, 11, 15, 20

Chandezon et al discloses a tire comprising at least a first and a second bead radially extending to at least a first and a second sidewall, respectively, and said sidewalls joined to a tread; said first bead having a seat (42) the generatrix of which has its axially inner end on a circle of diameter greater than the diameter of the circle on which is located the axially outer end, and a carcass reinforcement (1) formed of at least one carcass ply and anchored in said first bead to at least one annular bead anchoring element (2), and when said tire is mounted on its operating rim and inflated to its recommended pressure, the meridian profile of said carcass reinforcement has a tangent to the point of tangency of said meridian profile with said anchoring element of said first bead that forms relative to the axis of rotation an angle open towards the outside at most equal to 70 degrees as disclosed in col 1, lines 20-25, and characterized in that said first sidewall further comprises: a profiled rubber mix element

Art Unit: 3617

(7) extending radially between said annular bead anchoring element and said additional sidewall ring, and located axially to the inside of said axially outermost carcass ply, see col 3, lines 15-18; said ring is located at a distance from the bead which is less than the height of the tire;

wherein said carcass reinforcement ply is anchored in said bead by winding around a bead wire of quasi-circular section to form an upturn extending into a wedge-shaped profiled rubber element having a Shore A hardness greater than 65 points, and wherein the cross-section of said wedge-shaped profiled rubber element corresponds to a sector of a circle with an apex A radially beneath said anchoring bead wire, a radially upper side and a radially lower side extending from said apex and joined to a third side opposite said apex A, said radially upper side forming relative to the axis of rotation an angle of between 20 degrees and 70 degrees and said radially lower side forming with the same axis an angle of between 0 and 30 degrees;

wherein said carcass reinforcement comprises at least two carcass plies, and a second axially inner ply is located axially to the inside of said additional sidewall ring and axially to the inside of said profiled element radially extending axially between said anchoring element and said additional ring in accordance with claim 9.

Chandezon et al discloses all of the features as listed above but does not disclose an inextensible additional sidewall ring located axially to the inside of an axially outermost carcass ply. The general concept of providing an inextensible additional sidewall ring located axially to the inside of an axially outermost carcass ply in a tire is well known in the art as illustrated by Shoemaker which disclose the teaching of an inextensible

Art Unit: 3617

additional sidewall ring (10) located axially to the inside of an axially outermost carcass ply in a tire, see figs. 2-3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Chandezon et al to include the use of an inextensible additional sidewall ring located axially to the inside of an axially outermost carcass ply in his advantageous tire as taught by Shoemaker in order to increase the rigidity of the sidewall of the tire without rendering the tire uncomfortable.

#### Claim 3

Regarding using an angle of a line joining the center of ring and the annular bead anchoring element open toward the outside with the axial direction of at most 70 degrees as recited in claim 3, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Chandezon et al to include the use of an angle of a line joining the center of ring and the annular bead anchoring element open toward the outside with the axial direction of at most 70 degrees in his advantageous system. as ring reinforcement location is a common and everyday occurrence throughout the tire design art and the specific use of an angle of a line joining the center of ring and the annular bead anchoring element open toward the outside with the axial direction of at most 70 degrees would have been an obvious matter of design preference depending upon such factors as the load rating of the tire, the yield strength of the side walls material; the targeted vibration characteristics of the tire the ordinarily skilled artisan choosing the best stress profile corresponding to a particular loading imposed on the tire which would most optimize the cost and performance of the device for a particular application at hand, based upon the above noted common design criteria.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,175

Art Unit: 3617

3. Claims 1-2, 4-9, 11-12, 15-16, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drieux et al (US 5,971,047) in view of Shoemaker (US 2,186,178).

Page 5

Drieux et al teach all the limitations of claims 1-2, 4-9, 11-12, 15-16, and 19-20 except for a reinforcing ring located axially to the inside of an axially outermost carcass ply.

The general concept of providing an inextensible additional sidewall ring located axially to the inside of an axially outermost carcass ply in a tire is well known in the art as illustrated by Shoemaker which disclose the teaching of an inextensible additional sidewall ring (10) located axially to the inside of an axially outermost carcass ply in a tire, see figs. 2-3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Drieux et al to include the use of an inextensible additional sidewall ring located axially to the inside of an axially outermost carcass ply in his advantageous tire as taught by Shoemaker in order to increase the rigidity of the sidewall of the tire without rendering the tire uncomfortable.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 10, 13-15, 17-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 04/18/2005 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new ground of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,175

Art Unit: 3617

Applicant's argument that the previous reference of record Mulhoff and Eynard fails to meet the publication date of the application forces the withdrawal of the previous rejection.

The general concept of providing a tire with a reinforcing ring is well known in the art as illustrated by the prior art of record, Shoemaker. An ordinary skill artisan would have been motivated to incorporate the use of a ring in the sidewall of his tire in order to achieve among others, the benefit of increasing strength in the tire.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Travers is cited to show a related tire comprising reinforcing ring in the sidewall.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz F. Jules whose telephone number is (703) 308-8780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph S. Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frantz F. Jules Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

**FFJ** 

June 26, 2005

FRANTZ F. JULES
PRIMARY EXAMINER